

DSec Retro #3

Sprint retro

What went bad	Votes
Communication with backend and frontend team can be better, I think we don't know what is going on both sides	7
Bad representation of JIRA sprint burndown chart	4
Tasks were not assigned evenly among team members	4
Not communicating what we did (working on same page designs, changing backend, update on tools we found)	3
The JIRA issues were not all defined or needed to be more granular.	3
Updating on JIRA! Changing who is responsible for each task, in progress option	2
Backend and design are not in sync. New project flow was created in design and GitHub was a last step - it need to be first for backend	1

What went well	Votes
We managed to implement a lot and have a clearer heading where we want to go.	7
Integrated a tool	5
Flexibility to reassign and distribute work when unexpected problems occurred	5
Had good communication and understanding regarding others midterms and technical problems some had	4
It was useful to get more frequent status updates with the #daily channel	4
We did a lot more for true purpose of our application	2

What can be improved	Votes
Notify everyone once we did something, daily channel definitely helps	4
Better communication with backend and frontend team	4
Jira task organization and better use of Jira in general	4
Update the JIRA tasks with progress and additional information that will document what has been done.	2

Action Items	Votes
--------------	-------

Reorganize a JIRA structure; use less of child issues, instead use issues + links.	1
Whatever is being worked on needs to be in JIRA	0
When something is done, notify all team members. Make sure to relate to the JIRA ticket. Keep it short and simple (if possible).	0